

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

-----X  
FRANCIS CONTRERAS,

Petitioner,

-against-

DUKE TERRELL, Warden, Metropolitan  
Detention Center,

Respondent.  
-----X

USDC SDNY  
DOCUMENT  
ELECTRONICALLY FILED  
DOC #:  
DATE FILED: 9/20/2010

MEMORANDUM OPINION  
AND ORDER

10 Civ. 6583 (DLC)

DENISE COTE, District Judge:

Francis Contreras, appearing *pro se* and presently incarcerated in the Metropolitan Detention Center, in Brooklyn, New York, brings a petition for a writ of *habeas corpus* under 28 U.S.C. § 2241 seeking a twenty-four month sentence reduction and his immediate release.<sup>1</sup> The sentence that is challenged was issued in a judgment of conviction filed on April 10, 2006 in United States v. Contreras, 05 Cr. 623-03 (DLC).

**DISCUSSION**

**Characterizing the Petition**

To the extent Contreras seeks to challenge the imposition of his federal sentence, such a challenge can be made only in a motion under 28 U.S.C. § 2255 to vacate, set aside or correct a

---

<sup>1</sup> The petition was filed originally in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of New York. By Order dated August 18, 2010, this action was transferred to this Court. Contreras v. Terrell, No. 10-CV-3352 (RJD) (E.D.N.Y. Aug. 18, 2010).

federal sentence. See Chambers v. United States, 106 F.3d 472, 474 (2d Cir. 1997) (“A petitioner seeking to challenge the legality of the *imposition* of a [federal] sentence by a court may . . . make a claim pursuant to Section 2255. A challenge to the *execution* of a [federal] sentence, however, is properly filed pursuant to Section 2241.” (citation omitted)). The Antiterrorism and Effective Death Penalty Act of 1996 (“AEDPA”), 28 U.S.C. §§ 2244, 2255, generally limits federal prisoners to a single § 2255 motion. Second or successive § 2255 motions may only be filed in the Court of Appeals and are subject to stricter scrutiny.<sup>2</sup> See 28 U.S.C. §§ 2244(b)(3), 2255(h).

Accordingly,

district courts should not recharacterize a motion purportedly made under some other rule as a motion made under § 2255 unless (a) the movant, with knowledge of the potential adverse consequences of such recharacterization, agrees to have the motion so recharacterized, or (b) the court finds that, notwithstanding its designation, the motion should be considered as made under § 2255 because of the nature of the relief sought, and offers the movant the opportunity to withdraw the motion rather than have it so recharacterized.

Adams v. United States, 155 F.3d 582, 583-84 (2d Cir. 1998) (per curiam). Thus,

---

<sup>2</sup> A second or successive [§ 2255] motion must be certified as provided in [28 U.S.C. §] 2244 by a panel of the appropriate court of appeals to contain—

(1) newly discovered evidence that, if proven and viewed in light of the evidence as a whole, would be sufficient to establish by clear and convincing evidence that no reasonable factfinder would have found the movant guilty of the offense; or

(2) a new rule of constitutional law, made retroactive to cases on collateral review by the Supreme Court, that was previously unavailable.

28 U.S.C. § 2255(h).

the district court must notify the *pro se* litigant that it intends to recharacterize the pleading, warn the litigant that this recharacterization means that any subsequent § 2255 motion will be subject to the restrictions on “second or successive” motions, and provide the litigant an opportunity to withdraw the motion or to amend it so that it contains all the § 2255 claims he believes he has. If the court fails to do so, the motion cannot be considered to have become a § 2255 motion for purposes of applying to later motions the law’s “second or successive” restrictions.

Castro v. United States, 540 U.S. 375, 383 (2003).

**Statute of Limitations Under 28 U.S.C. § 2255**

Section 105 of the AEDPA amended § 2255 to include a one-year filing limitation. It provides:

A 1-year period of limitation shall apply to a motion under this section. The limitation period shall run from the latest of –

- (1) the date on which the judgment of conviction becomes final;
- (2) the date on which the impediment to making a motion created by governmental action in violation of the Constitution or laws of the United States is removed, if the movant was prevented from making by such governmental action;
- (3) the date on which the right asserted was initially recognized by the Supreme Court, if that right has been newly recognized by the Supreme Court and made retroactively applicable to cases on collateral review; or
- (4) the date on which the facts supporting the claim or claims presented could have been discovered through the exercise of due diligence.

28 U.S.C. § 2255(f).

This action may be untimely. Contreras’s judgment of conviction became final on April 24, 2008, ninety days after the entry of the order of the Second Circuit on January 24, 2008 that affirmed the judgment of conviction. See Clay v. United States, 537 U.S. 522, 525-27 (2003). It

appears that Contreras had one year from that date, or until April 24, 2009, to file a timely § 2255 petition. See 28 U.S.C. § 2255(f)(1).

Should Contreras submit an amended petition under 28 U.S.C. § 2255, he should allege facts demonstrating why the petition is timely. Specifically, he should include in his amended petition the date on which he delivered his original petition to prison officials for its mailing to the United States District Court for the Eastern District of New York. To the extent Contreras seeks equitable tolling of the limitations period, he should include in his amended petition any facts which demonstrate that ““extraordinary circumstances prevented him from filing his [original] petition on time, and [that] he . . . acted with reasonable diligence throughout the period he seeks to toll.”” Baldyague v. United States, 338 F.3d 145, 150 (2d Cir. 2003) (citation omitted).

#### CONCLUSION

It is hereby

ORDERED that, to the extent Contreras wishes to challenge his conviction, including the imposition of his sentence, he must submit by **December 3, 2010** an amended petition to vacate, set aside or correct a sentence under § 2255 to the Court’s *Pro Se* Office in which he specifies his intent to challenge his conviction, lists all of the grounds for such a challenge under federal law, and includes facts supporting each ground. Also, Contreras must allege facts demonstrating why his petition is timely, or if not, why the applicable limitation period should be equitably tolled. Contreras is advised that any amended petition submitted shall completely replace, not


supplement, his original petition.<sup>3</sup> Therefore, any claims from the original petition that he wishes to preserve must be included in his amended petition.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that, if Contreras does not wish to have this action recharacterized as one brought under § 2255, he may submit by **December 3, 2010** a request in writing, to the Court's *Pro Se* Office, to have this action withdrawn.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that, if Contreras fails to comply with this Order within the time allowed, or fails to show good cause as to why he cannot comply with this Order within the time allowed, this action will be dismissed without prejudice.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that, as Contreras has not made a substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right, a certificate of appealability will not issue. 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c); Lozada v. United States, 107 F.3d 1011, 1016-17 (2d Cir. 1997), abrogated on other grounds by United States v. Perez, 129 F.3d 255 (2d Cir. 1997). The Court certifies, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(3), that any appeal from this Order would not be taken in good faith. See Coppedge v. United States, 369 U.S. 438, 444-45 (1962).

SO ORDERED.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
DENISE COTE  
United States District Judge

Dated: September 20, 2010

New York, New York

---

<sup>3</sup> For Contreras's convenience, a § 2255 amended petition form is attached to this Order.

<b>UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT</b>		District _____
Name of Movant _____	Prisoner No. _____	Case No. _____
Place of Confinement _____		
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA                      V.                      _____ <span style="float: right;">(name under which convicted)</span>		
<b>AMENDED MOTION</b> 10 Civ. 6583(DLC)		
1. Name and location of court which entered the judgment of conviction under attack _____ _____ _____		
2. Date of judgment of conviction _____ _____		
3. Length of sentence _____ _____		
4. Nature of offense involved (all counts) _____ _____ _____ _____ _____		
5. What was your plea? (Check one) (a) Not guilty <input type="checkbox"/> (b) Guilty <input type="checkbox"/> (c) Nolo contendere <input type="checkbox"/> If you entered a guilty plea to one count or indictment, and not a guilty plea to another count or indictment, give details: _____ _____ _____		
6. If you pleaded not guilty, what kind of trial did you have? (Check one) (a) Jury <input type="checkbox"/> (b) Judge only <input type="checkbox"/>		
7. Did you testify at the trial? Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/>		
8. Did you appeal from the judgment of conviction? Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/>		



AO 243 (Rev. 2/95)

9. If you did appeal, answer the following:

(a) Name of court \_\_\_\_\_

(b) Result \_\_\_\_\_

(c) Date of result \_\_\_\_\_

10. Other than a direct appeal from the judgment of conviction and sentence, have you previously filed any petitions, applications, or motions with respect to this judgment in any federal court?

Yes ☐ No ☐

11. If your answer to 10 was "yes," give the following information:

(a) (1) Name of court \_\_\_\_\_

(2) Nature of proceeding \_\_\_\_\_

(3) Grounds raised \_\_\_\_\_

(4) Did you receive an evidentiary hearing on your petition, application or motion?

Yes ☐ No ☐

(5) Result \_\_\_\_\_

(6) Date of result \_\_\_\_\_

(b) As to any second petition, application or motion give the same information:

(1) Name of court \_\_\_\_\_

(2) Nature of proceeding \_\_\_\_\_

(3) Grounds raised \_\_\_\_\_

Yes ☐ No ☐

(6) Date of result \_\_\_\_\_

(2) Second petition, etc. Yes ☐ No ☐

This image shows a single sheet of white paper with horizontal blue or grey ruling lines. The lines are evenly spaced and run across the width of the page. There are approximately 20 lines visible. The paper appears to be from a notebook or a set of legal pads. The edges of the paper are slightly irregular, suggesting it might be a scan of a physical document. There is no handwriting or other markings on the page.

Caution: If you fail to set forth all grounds in this motion, you may be barred from presenting additional grounds at a later date.

(b) Conviction obtained by use of coerced confession.



AO 243 (Rev. 2/95)

- (c) Conviction obtained by use of evidence gained pursuant to an unconstitutional search and seizure.
- (d) Conviction obtained by use of evidence obtained pursuant to an unlawful arrest.
- (e) Conviction obtained by a violation of the privilege against self-incrimination.
- (f) Conviction obtained by the unconstitutional failure of the prosecution to disclose to the defendant evidence favorable to the defendant.
- (g) Conviction obtained by a violation of the protection against double jeopardy.
- (h) Conviction obtained by action of a grand or petit jury which was unconstitutionally selected and impaneled.
- (i) Denial of effective assistance of counsel.
- (h) Denial of right of appeal.

A. Ground one: \_\_\_\_\_

Supporting FACTS (state *briefly* without citing cases or law)

B. Ground two: \_\_\_\_\_

Supporting FACTS (state *briefly* without citing cases or law) \_\_\_\_\_

C. Ground three: \_\_\_\_\_

Supporting FACTS (state *briefly* without citing cases or law) \_\_\_\_\_

AO 243 (Rev. 2/95)

D. Ground four: \_\_\_\_\_

Supporting FACTS (state *briefly* without citing cases or law) \_\_\_\_\_

13. If any of the grounds listed in 12A, B, C, and D were not previously presented, state *briefly* what grounds were not so presented, and give your reasons for not presenting them: \_\_\_\_\_

14. Do you have any petition or appeal now pending in any court as to the judgment under attack?

Yes ☐ No ☐

15. Give the name and address, if known, of each attorney who represented you in the following stages of judgment attacked herein:

(a) At preliminary hearing \_\_\_\_\_

(b) At arraignment and plea \_\_\_\_\_

(c) At trial \_\_\_\_\_

(d) At sentencing \_\_\_\_\_

AO 243 (Rev. 2/95)

- (e) On appeal \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
(f) In any post-conviction proceeding \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
(g) On appeal from any adverse ruling in a post-conviction proceeding \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

16. Were you sentenced on more than one count of an indictment, or on more than one indictment, in the same court and at approximately the same time?

Yes ☐ No ☐

17. Do you have any future sentence to serve after you complete the sentence imposed by the judgment under attack?

Yes ☐ No ☐

(a) If so, give name and location of court which imposed sentence to be served in the future: \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

(b) Give date and length of the above sentence: \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

(c) Have you filed, or do you contemplate filing, any petition attacking the judgment which imposed the sentence to be served in the future?

Yes ☐ No ☐

Wherefore, movant prays that the Court grant petitioner relief to which he or she may be entitled in this proceeding.

\_\_\_\_\_  
Signature of Attorney (if any)

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed on

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Date)

\_\_\_\_\_  
Signature of Movant

COPIES SENT TO:

Francis Contreras  
53021-054  
MDC Brooklyn  
Cadre  
P.O. Box 329002  
Brooklyn, NY 11232